

## REMARKS

Claims 4-16 were presented for examination and were pending in this application. In the latest Office Action, claims 4-16 were allowed, the drawings were objected to, and prosecution on the merits was closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*.

Specifically, the examiner objected to FIGS. 1A, 1B, 9A, and 9B and suggested that the exit aperture (labeled "44") be relabeled "34." Applicant notes that the label "34" is used to identify the folding mirror. Rather than amend the drawings as suggested, therefore, Applicant has corrected a typographical error in the specification in which the exit aperture was referred to using the label "34." The specification now consistently refers to the exit aperture using the label "44," so the drawings need not be amended.

The examiner also objected to the drawings for not showing the claimed obscuration. With this amendment, element 55 has been added to FIG. 2 to depict the claimed obscuration, and the specification has been amended to conform to the drawing amendments.

Based on the foregoing, the application is in condition for allowance of all claims, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If the examiner believes for any reason direct contact would help advance the prosecution of this case to allowance, the examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,  
THOMAS W. HAGLER

Dated: December 14, 2004

By: Robert A. Hulse

Robert A. Hulse, Reg. No. 48,473  
Attorney for Applicant  
Fenwick & West LLP  
801 California Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
Tel.: (415) 875-2444  
Fax: (415) 281-1350

### **AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS**

The attached sheets of drawings include changes to FIG. 2. In FIG. 2, element 55 has been added to depict the claimed obscuration.

Attachment: Replacement Sheet